UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,935	01/02/2004	Philip S. Siegel	067439.0158 1902		
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 06/14/200° 'S L.L.P.	,	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600			SHAAWAT, MUSSA A		
	AS, TX 75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
		•			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	06/14/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mike.furr@bakerbotts.com ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/750,935	SIEGEL, PHILIP S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mussa A. Shaawat	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the other controls. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/04, 3/18/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Application #10/750935, filed on January 02, 2004. Claims 1-27 are pending examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 17-18, 21 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 17-18, 21 and 26 recite *computer product*, which do not fall within the four statutory classes of 101. Computer product is non-statutory subject matter unless embodied within a computer-readable storage medium such as computer hard disk or the like. Appropriate corrections are required to overcome the 101 rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.



5. Claims 1-3, 6-8, and 10-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being

Page 3

anticipated by Arganbright et al, US Patent No. (6,980,962) referred to hereinafter as

Arganbright.

Claim 1: Arganbright teaches a method of using a computer system for on-line

processing of merchandise returns, comprising the steps of: receiving, via the Internet,

a return request representing a request by a customer to initiate a return of at least one

item of merchandise, (see col.63 8-11); and processing the return in accordance with

one or more return rules associated with the merchandise (see col. 1-10, user reviews

the satisfaction guarantee rules).

Claim 2: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the receiving step is

performed via an Internet access tool associated with the customer, (see col.63 lines 5-

8).

Claim 3: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the processing step is

preceded by the steps of storing the return rules in a database and of matching the item

to the stored rules, (see col.63 lines 8-11, where user reviews the satisfaction guarantee

rules he then decides if he wants to return or exchange the merchandise i.e. matching

the item to the stored rules in the database).

Claim 6: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the processing step is

performed by determining disposition of the item, (see col.63 lines 1-10).

Claim 7: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the processing step is

performed by determining a shipping destination of the item, (see col.63 lines 29-31).

Claim 8: Arganbright teaches the step of notifying a merchant of the return item, (see

col. 63 lines 18-22).

Claim 10: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, further comprising the step of

electronically delivering data about the customer to a merchant associated with the

return, (see col.46 lines 35-53).

Claim 11: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, further comprising the step of

providing a user interface to the customer, via an Internet access tool, wherein the user

interface displays information associated with return of one or more items purchased by

the customer, (see col.63 lines 1-11).

Claim 12: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 11, wherein the user interface

displays a list of transactions associated with the customer, listing items for potential

return by the customer, (see col.63 lines 1-11).

Claim 13: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, further comprising the step of

downloading a return label to the customer via the Internet, (see col.63 lines 23-35).

Claim 14: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, further comprising the step of

notifying a shipping agent of the return, (see col.63 lines 23-35).

Claims 15-27, the limitations of claims 15-27 are similar to the limitations of claims 1, 3,

8, and 10, therefore claims 15-27 are rejected based on the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 4-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Arganbright in view of Roman et al., US PG Pub. No. (US 2002/0010634 a1) and

official notice.

Claim 4: Arganbright does not expressly teach the step of determining whether the

return is valid prior to the downloading step. However Roman teaches the step of

determining whether the return is valid prior to the downloading step (see pp 0016 line 2

submitted return is analyzed for fraud against a database). It would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Roman into the disclosure of Arganbright in order to prevent the invalid return of merchandise.

Page 6

Claim 5: official notice is taken regarding the old and notorious practice of giving notice to customer that the request has been rejected and is made final. See e.g. US6192347 par. 517.

Claim 9: official notice is taken regarding the old and notorious practice of crediting an account of the customer.

Cited references

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to form 892 for cited references.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mussa A. Shaawat whose telephone number is 571-272-2945. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8am-5:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Florian Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,935 Page 7

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mussa Shaawat Patent Examiner June 4, 2007

> F. RYAN ZEENDER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER